



### UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



### Faculty ITC – formerly Institute ITC

- Established: 1950 Appeal by UN in framework of official development assistance ODA .
- Aim: Build capacity for economic development in developing world Main instrument: Postgraduate education and training, research, project services
- Main field of science: earth observation, geoinformation science applied to problem-solving in earth sciences, natural and water resources and urban studies .
- Achievements Education and training: 20 000 mid-career professionals



### **COURSE PARTICIPANTS 1950-2009**

ORIGIN OF ITC STUDENTS, EXCLUDING EXTRAMURAL



### CONTENT OF THE TALK

- Remote sensing of landslides
- · Coseismic landslides: fault controls on earthquake induced landslides
- Post earthquake events
- Disaster risk management & climate change



6

### LANDSLIDE INVENTORY

- Single landslides?
- First time failure
- Reactivation.
- Successive events in the same location (e.g. rockfall, debris flow)
- Many landslides?
  - Density of landslides within terrain units over time.
- Triggering events?

6

Rainfall / earthquakes

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Soil moisture /acceleration

### WHY ARE LANDSLIDE INVENTORIES IMPORTANT?

- Landslide inventories are the basis for assessing landslide susceptibility, hazard and risk
  They are essential for susceptibility models that predict
- and slide on the basis of past conditions: we need to know where they happened and how many
- These conditions are used to predict future ones: we need to know the causal factors
- These conditions differ for different landslide types: we need to know what happened
- Temporal information is essential to estimate the frequency of landslides: we need to know when they happened.
- Landslide inventories are used to validate landslide
- susceptibility, hazard and risk maps

# Fresh landslide scarps become overgrown by vegetation within a few years after they happen! Signs of landslides become difficult

- to interpret from images

  Single events might cause many
- andslides at the same time





6 8 10

Landslide volume

Landslide velocity

Affected area

1983

10<sup>9</sup> 10<sup>11</sup> 10<sup>1</sup>

Landslide lifetin

Landslide length

Landslide area

105

Various units of m

Triggering time

Total number

m

m<sup>2</sup>

m<sup>3</sup> m s<sup>-1</sup>

m<sup>2</sup>

### USE OF REMOTE SENSING FOR LANDSLIDE WORK

- Detection: new landslides recognition from space- or airborne imagery
- Rapid mapping: fast semi-automatic image processing for change detection and/or target detection; hotspot mapping
- Fast characterization: retrieving information on failure mechanism, volume involved, and run-out length
- Long-term monitoring: processing data for retrieving deformation patterns and time series



### Examples aspects used in detection of landslide initiation points



### LIDAR FOR LANDSLIDE STUDIES

Source: USGS

- Best source for DEMs
- Centimeter accuracy
- Good for landslide mapping and monitoring







### MAPPING LANDSLIDES FROM LIDAR IMAGES



- 1 m LiDAR posting image of the Salmon Falls Landslide southwest of Twin Falls, ID
- Area of 0.2 km<sup>2</sup>
  13 million data
- rs million data pointsvertical
- resolution of 15 cm
- 100X resolution of a 10m DEM



### TERRESTRIAL RADAR INTERFEROMETRY





GAMMA REMOTE SENSING



# 

RADAR SATELLITES USED FOR LANDSLIDES









20



## MONITORING OF BUILDINGS ON LANDSLIDES

Exploitation of Xband SAR data allows unprecedented monitoring of individual buildings in active landslide areas



CO4/02/520/WED | 5/2011 - 5/2012





### DATA SETS

Thrust and reverse fault earthquake events 1994 Northridge, USA (M<sub>w</sub> 6.7) -Blind Fault 1999 Chi-chi, Taiwan (M<sub>w</sub> 7.6) 2004 Mid-Niigata, Japan (M<sub>w</sub> 6.8) 2008 Wenchuan, China (M<sub>w</sub> 7.9) Surface ruptu 2010 Haiti (M<sub>w</sub> 7.0)

Strike slip earthquake events 2002 Denali Fault (M<sub>w</sub> 7.9) Surface rupture 2007 Aisén Fjord (M<sub>w</sub> 6.2) Blind fault 2010 Yushu (M<sub>w</sub> 6.8) earthquake

66.700 coseismic landslides mapped



6

PhD thesis Tolga Gorum: http://www.itc.nl/library/papers\_2013/phd/gorum.pdf UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE. 21

### EARTHQUAKE INDUCED LANDSLIDES





### WENCHUAN EARTHQUAKE 2008 CHINA (M<sub>W</sub> 7.9)



### BACKGROUND

- coseismic landslides depend on earthquake magnitude, ground motion parameters, distance from epicenter or from ruptured master faults, geological properties (lithology, soil, etc.), geomorphic features (rivers, ridges, etc.) and topographic variables (slope gradient, altitude, etc.).
- number and total volume of landslides triggered by an earthquake, and the area affected by landsliding scale with earthquake magnitude
- recent strong earthquakes have triggered significantly lower numbers and area affected by landslides than expected (e.g, the 2002 Denali (Mw 7.9), the 2010 Haiti (Mw 7.0) and Yushu (Mw 6.8) earthquakes)





### Coseismic landslide mapping

Pre- and Post-earthquake satellite image coverage









landslides are concentrated in a zone up to 100 km northeast of the epicenter

70 percent of landslides in area with high vertical displacements

Landslide density increases in areas where lithologies are highly susceptible to landslides, relatively close to the fault rupture, and with high relief and slope gradient.





Gorum et al. 2011, geomorphology 133, p. 152-167



### HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENT







Beichuan County town before the quake





### Controls of coseismic landslides

Seismic factors	<ul> <li>Distance to seismic source; PGA; Intensity</li> <li>Slope response to seismic waves</li> <li>Fault type and slip rate</li> <li>Hanging-wall effect</li> <li>Locked fault-junction effect</li> </ul>
Terrain factors	<ul> <li>Slope, terrain roughness</li> <li>Internal relief</li> <li>Topographic position etc.</li> </ul>
Geological factors	<ul><li>Lithology</li><li>Geological structure</li></ul>
-	
UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.	



### Seismic factors: Distance to epicenter



### DISTANCE TO FAULT SURFACE RUPTURE



### FAULT TYPE AND HANGING WALL EFFECT

Landslides distributed in a much wider zone along the thrusting part of the Yingxiu-Beichuan fault than the strike-slip part.



### HANGING WALL EFFECT WENCHUAN





### LITHOLOGY



### LITHOLOGY

















- lack of sufficient earthquake-induced landslide inventories; research on coseismic landslide susceptibility has less progressed in comparison to other natural (rainfall) triggers
- Haiti earthquake revealed that 572 out of 1273 aseismic landslides were re-activated -> pre/post landslides analyzed
- ground motion associated with non-vertical faults is asymmetric to fault-slip direction -> not incorporated in any known attenuation relation
- abundance and the spatial distribution of coseismic landslides strongly vary with faulting styles.
- thrust/reverse faults induce more coseismic landslides than normal and strike-slip faults



51

### CONCLUSIONS CONT.

- fault geometry is a key control on the spatial distribution pattern of the landslides
- coseismic landslide abundance and the spatial asymmetry decrease with increasing dip angle of strike-slip faults
- number and the overall area affected by the coseismic landslides increases with a decrease in dip angle for thrust and reverse faults for a given magnitude
- large earthquakes break the surface, however small earthquakes usually do not->rupture mechamism matters
- difference in ground motion between hanging walls and foot walls is more pronounced for surface rupture-earthquakes



52



### DISASTER CYCLE



### POST-EARTHQUAKE DEBRIS FLOWS













# <section-header><figure>









### ARE DISASTERS INCREASING?

- Driving forces: climate change
- Vulnerability changes:
- population growth
- urbanization (coastal zones, floodplains)
- occupation of marginal lands
- false security by believing in 100% protection



### CLIMATE CHANGE; CLIMATE ALWAYS CHANGES

- Average global predictions are not very useful for disaster work, we need detailed weather data that trigger hazardous events
- Tropical regions more uncertain than temperate zones
- Regional spatial predictions are uncertain, large differences between models
- Where will extreme events happen, more important than when they will happen





### WE NEED NEW THINKING: EARTH AS A SYSTEM





### USING DRONES FOR RAPID DAMAGE MAPPING



Drone scanning -> 3D point cloud -> create a building object -> recognize damage and classify

67

UAV SCAN OF DESTROYED CHURCH AQUILA EARHTQUAKE





### Thank you

68

### CONCLUSIONS ?...

- Models are a simplification of reality, models have uncertainties, earth is a complex system with many unknown feedbacks.
- Large spatial uncertainty in models, leads to many possibilities: with relatively little change in input, different results can be predicted.
- Tools are based on "regular" functioning of the landscape, does this system description apply to extreme events?
- Asian Development Bank (2003): "100% protection is impossible and may lead to a false sense of security"



- Xuanmei Fan (China, 2013): Earthquake induced landslide dam hazard and risk modeling
- Tolga Gorum (Turkey, 2013): Analyzing the relationships between seismic topographic and lithological factor on the distribution of earthquake induced landslides
- Quan Luna, B., (Guatemala, 2012) Dynamic numerical run out modelling for quantitative landslide risk assessment.
   Martha, T.R. (India, 2011) Detection of landslides by object oriented image
- Martina, T.N. (India, 2011) Detection of landslides by object offended image analysis
   Ghosh, S. (2011) Knowledge guided empirical prediction of landslide hazard.
- Ghosh, S. (2011) Knowledge guided empirical prediction of landslide hazard.
   Jaiswal, P. (India, 2011) Landslide risk quantification along transportation corridors based on historical information.
- Kuriakose, S.L., (India, 2010) Physically based dynamic modelling of the effect of land use changes on shallow landslide initiation in the Western Ghats of Kerala, India
- Castellanos Abella, E.A., (Cuba, 2008) Multi scale landslide risk assessment in Cuba.

You can download these PhD theses from: www.itc.nl







